

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 PLAINTIFF,) CASE NO. 10CR4246-JM(WVG)
)
VS.) SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA
)
BASAALY SAEED MOALIN,) MONDAY,
MOHAMED MOHAMED MOHAMUD,) OCTOBER 29, 2012
ISSA DOREH,) 7:00 A.M.
AHMED NASIR TAALIL MOHAMUD,)
)
 DEFENDANTS.)
)
-----)

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

MOTION HEARING RE: SAFE PASSAGE

BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM V. GALLO
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

CAMERON P. KIRCHER
CSR NO. 9427, RPR, CRR, RMR
880 FRONT STREET, ROOM 4290
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101
PHONE: (619) 239-4588
E-MAIL: CPKIRCHER@GMAIL.COM

1 APPEARANCES:

2 FOR THE GOVERNMENT:

LAURA E. DUFFY, U.S. ATTORNEY
3 BY: WILLIAM P. COLE, ESQ.
CAROLINE P. HAN, ESQ.
4 ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY
880 FRONT STREET
5 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101

6 FOR DEFENDANT MOALIN:

LAW OFFICES OF JOSHUA DRATEL
7 ATTORNEYS AT LAW
BY: JOSHUA L. DRATEL, ESQ.
ALICE L. FONTIER, ESQ.
8 2 WALL STREET
3RD FLOOR
9 NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10005

10 FOR DEFENDANT MOHAMUD:

LINDA MORENO
ATTORNEY AT LAW
11 PO BOX 10985
12 TAMPA, FLORIDA 33679

13 FOR DEFENDANT DOREH:

AHMED GHAPPOUR
ATTORNEY AT LAW
14 PO BOX 30267
15 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98102

16 FOR DEFENDANT MOHAMUD:

DURKIN & ROBERTS
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
17 BY: THOMAS A. DURKIN, ESQ.
2446 NORTH CLARK STREET
18 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60614
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA - MONDAY, OCTOBER 29, 2012

2 7:00 A.M.

3 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. GOOD MORNING, EVERYONE.

4 THIS IS BILL GALLO ON THE LINE.

5 THIS IS THE CASE OF THE UNITED STATES VS. MOALIN,
6 ET AL., 10CR4246. PRESENT IN THE COURTROOM ARE MR. COLE AND
7 MS. HAN, AND THEN WE HAVE THE OTHERS ON THE LINE. I'LL MAKE
8 THE APPEARANCES FOR THE PARTIES, JUST TO MAKE SURE THAT I'VE
9 GOT THE LINEUP CORRECT.

10 AS I INDICATED, MS. HALL -- OR MR. COLE AND MS. HAN
11 ARE PRESENT IN COURT. ON THE LINE I BELIEVE WE HAVE
12 MR. DRATEL; IS THAT CORRECT?

13 MR. DRATEL: YES, YOUR HONOR.

14 THE COURT: OKAY. GOOD MORNING.

15 MR. DRATEL: GOOD MORNING.

16 THE COURT: MS. FONTIER.

17 MS. FONTIER: YES. GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.

18 THE COURT: GOOD MORNING.

19 MR. GHAPPOUR.

20 MR. GHAPPOUR: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.

21 THE COURT: GOOD MORNING.

22 MS. MORENO.

23 MS. MORENO: HELLO, JUDGE.

24 THE COURT: GOOD MORNING.

25 AND MR. DURKIN.

1 MR. DURKIN: GOOD MORNING, JUDGE.

2 THE COURT: GOOD MORNING.

3 ALL RIGHT. SO FOR THOSE OF YOU ON THE EAST COAST, I
4 HOPE YOU'RE SURVIVING FRANKENSTORM. AND IF YOU NEED TO
5 EVACUATE AT ANY TIME DURING THE HEARING, JUST LET ME KNOW.

6 ALL RIGHT. ON TAP FOR THIS MORNING -- WE ARE ON THE
7 RECORD AND PRESENTLY WE DO HAVE A COURT REPORTER IN THE
8 COURTROOM, WHO IS TAKING DOWN EVERY WORD. SO IF THERE IS A
9 NEED FOR A QUICK TURNAROUND ON A TRANSCRIPT, I BELIEVE WE'LL
10 BE ABLE TO ACCOMPLISH THAT FOR EITHER SIDE WHO MAY DESIRE TO
11 HAVE ONE.

12 WE'RE HERE TODAY TO DISCUSS AND RESOLVE THE ISSUE OF
13 SAFE PASSAGE. DEFENDANTS HAVE BROUGHT TO THE COURT'S
14 ATTENTION LAST WEEK THAT THE SAFE PASSAGE ISSUE WITH RESPECT
15 TO AT LEAST ONE WITNESS WHO IS TO BE DEPOSED AND PERHAPS
16 OTHERS WAS DISCUSSED IN THE PAST BETWEEN THE ATTORNEYS FOR
17 BOTH SIDES, AND I'M GOING TO LET THE DEFENSE GO FIRST ON THIS
18 ISSUE, BECAUSE I BELIEVE IT'S THEIR MOTION FOR THIS TO BE
19 RESOLVED.

20 SO WHO SPEAKS FOR THE DEFENSE ON THIS?

21 MR. DRATEL: WELL, YOUR HONOR, I SPOKE LAST TIME.
22 THIS IS MR. DRATEL.

23 THE COURT: GO AHEAD.

24 MR. DRATEL: UNFORTUNATELY, I DON'T HAVE MY LETTER
25 WITH ME BECAUSE I HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE TO GET TO MY OFFICE

1 BECAUSE OF THE STORM. AND I'LL JUST SPEAK FROM -- GENERALLY,
2 AND IF ANYONE WANTS TO SUPPLEMENT, THAT'S FINE.

3 OUR POSITION IS THAT WE HAVE BEEN ENDEAVORING WITH
4 THE COURT AND THE GOVERNMENT TO ACCOMPLISH THESE DEPOSITIONS
5 IN DJIBOUTI IN ONE -- IN ONE EXCURSION ESSENTIALLY, AND TO DO
6 IT AS EFFICIENTLY AS POSSIBLE WITH A SIGNIFICANT DEVOTION OF
7 RESOURCES FROM ALL THREE POINTS; FROM THE DEFENSE, FROM THE
8 COURT, FROM THE GOVERNMENT. AND JUST RECENTLY THE GOVERNMENT
9 HAD SHIFTED ITS POSITION WITH RESPECT TO THE QUESTION OF WHAT
10 WOULD OCCUR IN DJIBOUTI WITH RESPECT TO THE WITNESSES, AT
11 LEAST ONE WITNESS IN PARTICULAR, IN TERMS OF THE UNITED
12 STATES' ACTIONS.

13 WE HAD INITIALLY TALKED ABOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF
14 SAFE PASSAGE TO THE UNITED STATES. THAT WAS OBLIVIATED BY THE
15 FACT THAT THE WITNESSES WOULD NOT COME TO THE UNITED STATES.
16 WE TALKED ABOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF SAFE PASSAGE TO KENYA. WE
17 TALKED ABOUT DJIBOUTI. WE TALKED ABOUT THE FACT THAT -- WE
18 WOULD ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THE U.S. COULD NOT BIND THE GOVERNMENT
19 IN DJIBOUTI, BUT THAT CERTAINLY THE UNITED STATES COULD BIND
20 ITSELF.

21 AND THEN SUDDENLY THOSE DISCUSSIONS BROKE OFF WITH A
22 CATEGORICAL POSITION BY THE U.S. THAT THEY WOULD NOT COMMIT
23 TO ANYTHING UNTIL WE KNOW WHAT WITNESSES, IN PARTICULAR A
24 CO-CONSPIRATOR, AN UNINDICTED CO-CONSPIRATOR, MR. SHIDANE --

25 THE REPORTER: I'M SORRY. WAIT, WAIT, WAIT.

1 THE COURT: MR. DRATEL, SINCE WE DO HAVE A COURT
2 REPORTER IN THE COURTROOM, AND BECAUSE WE ARE USING A
3 TELEPHONE, SOMETIMES THE PRONUNCIATION OF CERTAIN WORDS,
4 PARTICULARLY NAMES, MAY NOT BE AS CLEAR AS WE WOULD HOPE TO
5 MAKE SURE WE CAPTURE THE RECORD CORRECTLY.

6 SO COULD YOU PLEASE PRONOUNCE AND SPELL THE NAME OF
7 THE WITNESS THAT YOU ARE REFERRING TO, WHO IS THE UNINDICTED
8 CO-CONSPIRATOR, WHO YOU JUST MENTIONED.

9 MR. DRATEL: YES. S-H-I-D-N -- I'M SORRY.
10 S-H-I-D-A-N-E. I'LL JUST CALL HIM MR. SHIDANE FOR PURPOSES
11 OF OUR DISCUSSION TODAY.

12 SO HIS SITUATION IS THE MOST PROBLEMATIC, BECAUSE
13 HE'S AN UNINDICTED CO-CONSPIRATOR, WHO CONCEIVABLY -- WELL,
14 WHO COULD CONCEIVABLY HAVE EXPOSURE. THE OTHER WITNESSES ARE
15 NOT UNINDICTED CO-CONSPIRATORS AS FAR AS WE'VE BEEN TOLD, OR
16 AS FAR AS WE KNOW, AND WE DON'T KNOW WHETHER THAT'S GOING TO
17 BE AN ISSUE OR NOT, AND WE DON'T THINK SO. WE THINK WE CAN
18 GET THOSE WITNESSES TO DJIBOUTI AND BACK. WE'RE JUST NOT
19 SURE ABOUT MR. SHIDANE RIGHT NOW BECAUSE OF THE FAILURE OF
20 THE GOVERNMENT TO PROVIDE THE SAFE PASSAGE, WHICH HAS BEEN
21 DONE IN OTHER CASES.

22 WE'VE PROVIDED THE GOVERNMENT A LETTER, I THINK WE
23 PROVIDED IT TO THE COURT AS WELL AS AN EXHIBIT, IN OUR -- TO
24 OUR LETTER. AND IF I'M -- I THINK SO. DID WE DO THAT?

25 THE COURT: YES.

1 MR. DRATEL: OKAY. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

2 AND SO THIS IS NOT SOMETHING THAT IS REINVENTING THE
3 WHEEL. THIS IS JUST DOING SOMETHING THAT IS PART OF THE
4 PROCESS OF MAKING SURE THAT THE DEFENDANTS GET A FAIR TRIAL.
5 THIS IS ALL PART OF THE PROCESS OF ENSURING THAT WE HAVE THE
6 OPPORTUNITY TO HAVE A DEFENSE IN A CASE IN WHICH THE
7 GOVERNMENT IS CHARGING THIS CRIMINAL CONDUCT WITH RESPECT TO
8 PEOPLE WHO ARE HALF A WORLD AWAY, IN AN AREA THAT IS
9 EXTRAORDINARILY INACCESSIBLE TO US, AND IN WHICH WE DO NOT
10 HAVE THE ABILITY TO WIRETAP, TO GET FOREIGN GOVERNMENT
11 INTELLIGENCE OR ALL THOSE THINGS WE CAN'T DO. WE HAVE TO GET
12 THESE WITNESSES TO TESTIFY SO THAT WE CAN HAVE A SHOT AT THIS
13 TRIAL.

14 AND THIS IS AN EXTRAORDINARILY IMPORTANT WITNESS.
15 THIS IS SOMEONE WHOM THE GOVERNMENT CLAIMS -- WE'RE TALKING
16 ABOUT MR. SHIDANE NOW. THIS IS SOMEONE WHOM THE GOVERNMENT
17 CLAIMS IS AN UNINDICTED CO-CONSPIRATOR; YET, WE HAVE
18 EXTRAORDINARILY CONTRARY INFORMATION ABOUT HIM AND HIS
19 RELATIONSHIP WITH THE SOMALI GOVERNMENT AS IT EXISTS RIGHT
20 NOW AND AS IT EXISTED THEN, HIS RELATIONSHIP WITH AL-SHABAAB,
21 NOT AS AN AL-SHABAAB OPERATIVE, BUT AS THE CONTRARY, THE
22 OPPOSITE, AS AN AL-SHABAAB TARGET. SO WE NEED TO GET THAT
23 INFORMATION IN THE RECORD IN FRONT OF A JURY SO WE CAN HAVE A
24 FAIR TRIAL.

25 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. ANYBODY ELSE?

1 MR. DRATEL: AND I WOULD SUGGEST THAT THE COURT,
2 JUST LIKE THE NINTH CIRCUIT PERMITS THE COURT TO ORDER
3 IMMUNITY, WHICH IS REALLY TO ME A FAR GREATER -- IS
4 CONFERRING A FAR GREATER -- IS A FAR GREATER EXERCISE THAN
5 SIMPLY SAFE PASSAGE IN THIS CONTEXT, THAT WE WOULD HOPE THAT
6 WE COULD DO THAT AND GET MR. SHIDANE THERE FOR THE TESTIMONY;
7 AND IF NOT, THAT WE SHOULD BE DOING IT SOME OTHER WAY FROM
8 SOMALIA DOWN THE ROAD AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE IN A MANNER THAT
9 THE GOVERNMENT CAN PARTICIPATE IN ANY WAY THEY LIKE, BY
10 VIDEO, THEY CAN GO TO MR. SHIDANE, WHATEVER.

11 WE DON'T WANT -- YOU KNOW, WE DON'T WANT TO
12 COMPLICATE THIS PROCESS ANY MORE THAN HAS ALREADY BEEN, BUT
13 WE NEED TO FOR THE CLIENTS' CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO A FAIR
14 TRIAL.

15 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. ANYBODY ELSE FROM THE
16 DEFENSE LIKE TO ADD THEIR THOUGHTS? NO? OKAY. ALL RIGHT.

17 MR. COLE OR MS. HAN.

18 MR. COLE: YES. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

19 WE HAVE LOOKED AT THIS CLOSELY. WE'VE CONSIDERED IT
20 IN THE CONTEXT OF THE TYPE OF CASE THAT IT IS. IT INVOLVES A
21 THIRD COUNTRY. THE UNITED STATES SIMPLY IS NOT GOING TO MAKE
22 ANY ASSURANCES IN THIS TYPE OF A CONTEXT WHERE A GROUP OF
23 WITNESSES, INCLUDING AN UNINDICTED CO-CONSPIRATOR, WILL BE
24 TRAVELING TO A THIRD COUNTRY. WE ARE GOING -- WE HAVE WORKED
25 HOPEFULLY WELL, AND COOPERATIVELY TO WORK OUT THE LOGISTICAL

1 ARRANGEMENTS TO HELP MAKE THIS HAPPEN. WE CERTAINLY HAVE NO
2 INTEREST IN SEEING IT NOT HAPPEN.

3 BUT AT THE SAME TIME, AS A MATTER OF POLICY, WE ARE
4 NOT GOING TO APPEAR -- FOR ONE THING, WE'RE NOT GOING TO
5 APPEAR TO BE SPONSORING THESE WITNESSES OR VOUCHING FOR THEM
6 IN ANY WAY TO A THIRD-PARTY GOVERNMENT. I KNOW THE DEFENSE
7 MAY NOT SEE THAT AS AN ISSUE OR CONCERN, BUT THE UNITED
8 STATES IS NOT GOING TO BE MAKING ASSURANCES TO A GROUP OF
9 WITNESSES IN A TERRORISM CASE WHO ARE HEADED TO A THIRD
10 COUNTRY, PARTICULARLY WHERE ONE OF THEM IS AN UNINDICTED
11 CO-CONSPIRATOR IN A TERRORISM CASE. IT'S SIMPLY NOT GOING TO
12 HAPPEN. IT WOULDN'T HAPPEN EVEN IF I WANTED IT TO. IT WILL
13 NOT BE AUTHORIZED. AND SO WE ARE NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO DO
14 THAT.

15 THERE WERE LOTS OF DISCUSSIONS ALONG THE WAY HERE IN
16 EXPLORING, IN THE CONTEXT THAT NEITHER MS. HAN OR MYSELF HAVE
17 EVER LITIGATED IN, THE NOTION OF DEPOSITIONS OVERSEAS IN THIS
18 TYPE OF A MATTER, IN THIS TYPE OF A CIRCUMSTANCE. THERE WERE
19 PRELIMINARY DISCUSSIONS ABOUT THEM COMING TO THE U.S. IN
20 THAT CONTEXT, THERE WAS DISCUSSION OF SAFE PASSAGE. THERE
21 HAS BEEN DISCUSSIONS ABOUT A LOT OF THINGS IN THE CONTEXT
22 THAT WERE ALL PRELIMINARY. AND AS WE SAW HOW THIS SHAPED UP
23 AND FORMED, THERE HAS NEVER BEEN A COMMITMENT TO PROVIDE
24 THESE WITNESSES SAFE PASSAGE ANYWHERE. AND WE CANNOT MAKE
25 THAT COMMITMENT, NOT AUTHORIZED TO MAKE THAT COMMITMENT.

1 AND WE BELIEVE THAT UNDER THE NINTH CIRCUIT LAW THAT
2 WAS JUST REFERRED TO, THERE IS NO -- THERE IS NO BASIS FOR --
3 TO COMPEL THE UNITED STATES TO PROVIDE THESE ASSURANCES.
4 THERE SIMPLY HAS BEEN NO SHOWING OF ANYTHING. ONE, THERE ARE
5 NO CASES IN THE NINTH CIRCUIT THAT EVEN LAY OUT A TEST FOR
6 COMPELLING SAFE PASSAGE. THE CLOSEST ANALOGY THAT MR. DURKIN
7 REFERRED TO IS THE COMPELLED USE IMMUNITY. AND EVEN THERE,
8 UNDER THE TWO PRONGS OF THE STRAUB TEST, THERE JUST SIMPLY IS
9 NO BASIS HERE TO COMPEL IT. THERE IS SIMPLY NOTHING HERE
10 THAT WOULD RAISE A SITUATION WHERE SAFE PASSAGE WOULD BE
11 COMPELLED.

12 WE INTEND TO NOT STAND IN THE WAY OF ANYONE SHOWING
13 UP, BUT WE DON'T INTEND TO DO ANYTHING FURTHER IN TERMS OF
14 INDUCING THEM TO SHOW UP.

15 MS. MORENO: IF I MAY SPEAK. THIS IS LINDA
16 MORENO.

17 THE COURT: MS. MORENO, YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO
18 SPEAK UP.

19 MS. MORENO: OKAY. I'M GOING TO DO MY VERY BEST.
20 IS THAT GOOD? IS THAT BETTER?

21 THE COURT: SLIGHTLY. GO AHEAD.

22 MS. MORENO: THE PROBLEM IS THAT MR. COLE DOESN'T
23 TELL THE WHOLE STORY, NEITHER IN HIS REPRESENTATION TO THE
24 COURT JUST NOW OR IN HIS ATTACHED DECLARATION TO THE MOTION
25 FILED ON THE 26TH OF OCTOBER.

1 WHAT HE FAILED TO ADDRESS IN THAT DECLARATION, AND
2 WHICH WAS REALLY PART OF THE INCENTIVE FOR US TO BRING THIS
3 TO THE COURT'S ATTENTION, WAS THE CONVERSATION THAT HE HAD
4 WITH MR. DURKIN AND WITH ME ON OCTOBER THE 12TH WHERE HE TOLD
5 US THAT THERE WAS MORE THAN ONE OF THE WITNESSES WHO HAD
6 VULNERABILITY, THAT THEY NEEDED TO -- THEY SHOULD GET THEIR
7 OWN LAWYER, THAT HE COULDN'T PROMISE WHAT HE WAS GOING TO DO
8 ONCE WE GOT TO DJIBOUTI.

9 NOW, QUITE FRANKLY, IN OUR MINDS, LOOKING AT THAT
10 VERY SOBERLY, THAT WAS THE FIRST TIME THAT WE FELT THAT THERE
11 WAS REALLY -- AND I'M NOT TRYING TO BE DRAMATIC HERE. BUT WE
12 FELT THAT THE WITNESS MIGHT BE SLIGHTLY YOU COULD SAY
13 VULNERABLE, I WOULD SAY THREATENED, IN SOME FASHION.

14 NOW, WE DID NOT WANT TO SPEND ALL THESE RESOURCES,
15 TRAVEL THOUSANDS OF MILES TO A PLACE WHERE ONE OR MORE OF
16 THESE WITNESSES COULD BE DETAINED OR ARRESTED ON ANYBODY'S
17 AUTHORITY. THAT'S THE FIRST THING.

18 THE COURT: I'M SORRY, MS. MORENO. COULD YOU REPEAT
19 THAT LAST SENTENCE.

20 MS. MORENO: IF I COULD REMEMBER IT, YOUR HONOR.
21 WE DID NOT WISH TO TRAVEL AND HAVE OUR RESOURCES,
22 YOUR HONOR'S RESOURCES, THE GOVERNMENT'S RESOURCES EXPENDED
23 ONLY FOR THIS TO RESULT IN A SCENARIO WHERE ONE OR MORE OF
24 OUR DEFENSE WITNESSES WERE GOING TO BE DETAINED OR ARRESTED.
25 WE FELT AFTER THAT CONVERSATION THAT MR. COLE MIGHT HAVE SOME

1 INFORMATION THAT SOME OF THESE WITNESSES HAD SOME CRIMINAL
2 VULNERABILITY, AND WE ASKED FOR SPECIFICS. BECAUSE WE TOLD
3 HIM THAT WE WERE ON TOP --

4 THE COURT: MS. MORENO.

5 MS. MORENO: I'M SORRY.

6 THE COURT: AGAIN, ONLY BECAUSE WE'RE USING THE
7 TELEPHONE HERE, YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO SLOW DOWN AND SPEAK
8 AS LOUDLY AS YOU CAN AND ENUNCIATE. SO WE MISSED A FEW
9 THINGS SO GO BACK.

10 MS. MORENO: OKAY. I'M NOT SURE IF I COULD BE HEARD
11 OR NOT. BUT I'M TRYING TO underscore THAT MR. COLE HAS
12 FAILED TO ADDRESS THE LAST COMMUNICATION HE HAD WITH DEFENSE
13 COUNSEL ON OCTOBER THE 12TH, WHICH BASICALLY HAD HIM
14 INDICATING TO US THAT MORE THAN ONE OF OUR WITNESSES COULD BE
15 CRIMINALLY VULNERABLE. AND I'M QUOTING HIM, THAT SOME OF THE
16 WITNESSES HAVE LIABILITY AND THEY SHOULD GET THEIR OWN
17 LAWYER.

18 HE FURTHER SAID THAT IF THEY TESTIFIED IN THEIR
19 DEPOSITION CONSISTENT WITH THEIR PROFFERED TESTIMONY, THEY
20 WOULDN'T BE TELLING THE TRUTH. AND WHILE ON THAT DAY, ON
21 OCTOBER THE 12TH, HE SAID THERE WAS NO WARRANT OR SECRET
22 INDICTMENT OUT THERE, HE WAS NOT GOING TO GUARANTY WHAT WOULD
23 HAPPEN ONCE THE WITNESSES WERE IN DJIBOUTI.

24 IS THAT CLEAR?

25 MR. DRATEL: ALSO --

1 THE COURT: HOLD ON. MS. MORENO.

2 MR. DRATEL: -- MS. MORENO WAS IN THE PROCESS OF
3 EXPANDING ON THAT WHEN --

4 THE COURT: IS THIS MR. DURKIN?

5 MR. DRATEL: -- WHEN YOU HAD TO ASK HER TO SLOW
6 DOWN.

7 ONE OF THE OTHER THINGS IS THAT WE DID ASK THE
8 GOVERNMENT IN WRITING AFTER THE CONVERSATION FOR INFORMATION
9 THAT -- THAT WOULD PUT THE NEGOTIATIONS IN A DIFFERENT
10 FRAMEWORK IN THIS SENSE. WE DID NOT WANT TO TRAVEL HALFWAY
11 AROUND THE WORLD, WE DID NOT WANT -- AS LAWYERS, WE DID NOT
12 WANT TO BE PARTIES TO A SITUATION IN WHICH THE GOVERNMENT IS
13 CLAIMING THAT THESE WITNESSES ARE ALL GOING TO LIE.

14 IF THEY CAN DEMONSTRATE THAT NOW, WHY BOTHER TO GO.
15 IF THIS IS ALL --

16 THE REPORTER: I'M SORRY. WAIT, WAIT, WAIT, WAIT.

17 THE COURT: MR. DRATEL. MR. DRATEL. MR. DRATEL.
18 MR. DRATEL.

19 THE REPORTER: I CAN'T GET IT.

20 THE COURT: MR. DRATEL. MR. DRATEL, STOP.

21 MR. DRATEL: OKAY.

22 THE COURT: LET ME CAUTION EVERYONE WHO IS ON THE
23 TELEPHONE THAT YOU'RE ON THE TELEPHONE. AND WHEN YOU START
24 SPEAKING AT THE CYCLIC RATE, PARTICULARLY SINCE YOU'RE ON THE
25 PHONE, AND WE'RE USING A SOUND SYSTEM HERE IN THE COURT THAT

1 WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO CATCH EVERY WORD THAT YOU'RE SAYING IF
2 YOU'RE GOING TO SPEAK FAST.

3 SO IF YOU WANT US TO GET THIS RECORD AND GET IT AS
4 ACCURATE AS POSSIBLE, YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO SLOW DOWN. I
5 KNOW YOU'RE EXCITED, BUT SLOW DOWN.

6 MR. DRATEL: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. I APOLOGIZE.

7 THE COURT: BACK UP.

8 MR. DRATEL: I'LL TRY AGAIN.

9 THAT WE DID NOT WANT TO PROCEED WITH THESE
10 DEPOSITIONS HALFWAY AROUND THE WORLD AND PUT EVERYBODY AT THE
11 INCONVENIENCE OF MAKING THIS TRIP IF THE GOVERNMENT COULD
12 DEMONSTRATE IN ADVANCE THAT THESE WITNESSES WERE LYING SO
13 MUCH THAT THE GOVERNMENT IS SO CONVINCED THAT THEY ARE LYING
14 THAT THEY ARE UNWILLING TO GIVE THEM SAFE PASSAGE, EVEN JUST
15 TO COME AND GO FROM DJIBOUTI.

16 WE SAID, WELL, LET US KNOW IF THAT'S THE CASE. IF
17 YOU HAVE SOMETHING THAT IS SO COMPELLING, WE DON'T WANT TO
18 JUST GO THERE AND PUT ON WITNESSES WHO YOU HAVE CONCLUSIVE
19 EVIDENCE ARE LYING. WE THINK THEY ARE TELLING THE TRUTH. WE
20 ARE OPERATING IN GOOD FAITH HERE. THE GOVERNMENT DECLINED.
21 THAT'S THEIR PREROGATIVE. THEY DECLINED. BUT -- AND THAT'S
22 WHAT MS. MORENO IS ALSO SAYING, WHICH I THINK SHE DID NOT ADD
23 WHEN SHE REPEATED HER PRESENTATION.

24 I ALSO JUST WANT TO RESPOND TO MR. COLE IN THREE
25 RESPECTS. ONE IS THAT THE SAFE PASSAGE LETTER THAT I

1 PROVIDED WAS IN A TERRORISM CASE. IT WAS A NARCOTERRORISM
2 CASE INVOLVING THE COLOMBIAN FARC, THE COLOMBIAN
3 REVOLUTIONARY ORGANIZATION. NUMBER TWO, THERE IS NO
4 DIFFERENT CONSTITUTION FOR TERRORISM CASES THAN THERE IS FOR
5 OTHER CASES. AND, THIRD, IS THAT, IN TERMS OF THE IMMUNITY
6 TEST, WE'RE NOT ASKING FOR SOMETHING AS BLANKET AS IMMUNITY.
7 WE ARE ASKING FOR A VERY SMALL SUBSET OF THAT.

8 AND IF WHAT THE GOVERNMENT IS TELLING US IS THAT
9 THEY DON'T HAVE THE AUTHORITY IN THIS CASE, IT'S SOME
10 DECISION MADE BY SOMEONE ELSE SOMEWHERE, THEN LET US KNOW
11 THAT THAT'S WHAT IT IS AND WE OUGHT TO ADDRESS THAT. IT'S
12 NOT A QUESTION OF WHAT IS RIGHT IN THIS CASE AND WHAT
13 DEFENDANTS ARE ENTITLED TO, BUT RATHER IT'S SOME OTHER -- AN
14 AGENCY OR SOME OTHER PART OF THE GOVERNMENT THAT'S MAKING A
15 DECISION THAT'S AFFECTING OUR CLIENTS' RIGHTS. THAT'S WHAT
16 WE THINK IS NOT A REASON NOT TO GRANT SAFE PASSAGE.

17 THE COURT: GO AHEAD, MR. COLE.

18 MR. COLE: YES, YOUR HONOR.

19 FIRST, I WANT TO SAY THAT MR. DRATEL ENDED ABOUT
20 TALKING ABOUT WHAT THE DEFENSE IS ENTITLED TO. THE
21 GOVERNMENT DISAGREES THAT THEY ARE ENTITLED TO ANYTHING.
22 THERE HAS BEEN NO SHOWING UNDER THE LAW THAT THEY ARE
23 ENTITLED TO UNILATERALLY REQUIRE THE UNITED STATES TO PROVIDE
24 SAFE PASSAGE.

25 SECONDLY, THE CONVERSATION THAT MS. MORENO REFERRED

1 TO, I WANT TO PUT THAT IN CONTEXT JUST TO MAKE SURE THE
2 RECORD IS CLEAR. THE UNITED STATES WAS MINDING ITS OWN
3 BUSINESS. I WAS SITTING IN MY OFFICE. I DID NOT REACH OUT
4 TO MS. MORENO OR MR. DURKIN. THEY REACHED OUT TO ME AND
5 BEGAN PRESSING ME AS TO WHETHER I WOULD GIVE SAFE PASSAGE,
6 AND IF NOT, WHY. WE'VE HAD A VERY COOPERATIVE RELATIONSHIP
7 IN THIS CASE. I'VE RESPECTED THEM AND ENJOYED OUR
8 CONVERSATIONS.

9 I PROBABLY SHOULD NEVER HAVE ENGAGED IN THAT
10 CONVERSATION AT ALL. I THOUGHT I COULD SPEAK WITH THEM
11 SOMEWHAT FREELY ABOUT MY CONCERNS ABOUT THEIR WITNESSES. I
12 NEVER SPOKE TO THEIR WITNESSES OR TO THEIR WITNESSES'
13 REPRESENTATIVES AND HAD NO INTENTION OF DOING SO.

14 NOW THEY ARE SUGGESTING THAT BECAUSE THEY CALLED ME
15 AND ASKED ME WHY I WOULDN'T GRANT SAFE PASSAGE THAT I WAS
16 THREATENING THEIR WITNESSES. AND I TAKE EXCEPTION TO THAT.
17 I WAS RESPONDING TO DEFENSE COUNSEL'S CALL TO ME. I DID NOT
18 REACH OUT IN ANY AFFIRMATIVE WAY TO STIR THE POT ON THIS
19 ISSUE WHATSOEVER. AND, OF COURSE, I'M NOT GOING TO GO
20 FURTHER AND PROVIDE WORK PRODUCT OR MENTAL IMPRESSIONS AS TO
21 HOW WE MAY CROSS-EXAMINE THEIR WITNESSES WHEN WE SHOW UP AT A
22 DEPOSITION. WE HAVE NO INTENTION OF DOING THAT, OBVIOUSLY.

23 AND, FINALLY, THE ISSUE OF WHETHER OR NOT THEY WOULD
24 LIE OR NOT IS IRRELEVANT BECAUSE THE SAFE PASSAGE THAT WAS
25 REQUESTED BY THE DEFENSE HAD A CARVE-OUT FOR PERJURY ANYWAYS.

1 AND SO THIS IS NOT ABOUT WHETHER THESE PEOPLE ARE GOING TO
2 LIE AT A DEPOSITION OR NOT. THIS HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH
3 THAT. BECAUSE THE VERY LETTER OF SAFE PASSAGE THAT THE
4 DEFENSE REQUESTED CARVED OUT PERJURY AND ALLOWED THE WITNESS
5 TO BE ARRESTED FOR THAT PURPOSE.

6 THIS IS ABOUT THE NOTION OF PROVIDING ASSURANCES
7 FROM THE OUTSET REGARDLESS, BEFORE THEY EVEN TESTIFY, THAT
8 THEY CAN COME IN AND EXIT WITHOUT -- WITH A 100 PERCENT
9 GUARANTY THAT THE UNITED STATES WOULD NOT MAKE AN ARREST.
10 AND AS I'VE STATED, WE ARE NOT IN A POSITION TO PROVIDE THAT
11 ASSURANCE, AND I HAVE NOT SEEN THE CASE LAW THAT COMPELS IT.

12 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.

13 LET ME OFFER MY IMPRESSIONS ON THIS. MY
14 RECOLLECTION IS AT THE VERY FIRST MEETING THAT WE HAD AND I
15 BELIEVE THE ONLY ONE THAT WE'VE HAD WHERE ALL COUNSEL WERE
16 PRESENT WAS BACK IN AUGUST. IT WAS SHORTLY AFTER A HEARING
17 THAT YOU HAD BEFORE JUDGE MILLER. THE ONLY ATTORNEY NOT
18 PRESENT, AS I RECALL, IS MR. DURKIN. EVERYONE ELSE WAS
19 PRESENT AND WE WERE IN MY OFFICE.

20 I DO RECALL THAT SAFE PASSAGE WAS ADDRESSED, MORE OR
21 LESS IN PASSING. AND MY RECOLLECTION IS MORE IN LINE WITH
22 WHAT MR. COLE HAS SET FORTH IN HIS DECLARATION, AND THAT IS
23 WHAT WOULD IT TAKE TO HAVE THE SEVEN OR -- THEN, AT THAT
24 TIME, EIGHT WITNESSES COME TO THE UNITED STATES TO TESTIFY?
25 BASICALLY, GIVE US YOUR WISH LIST.

1 BECAUSE I THINK WE ALL RECOGNIZE IS THAT LIVE
2 TESTIMONY IN FRONT OF A JURY IS BETTER THAN A VIDEOTAPED
3 DEPOSITION. I THINK WE WOULD ALL AGREE TO THAT. AND SO
4 HAVING THOSE WITNESSES APPEAR IN A COURT IN THE UNITED STATES
5 TO TESTIFY ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS WOULD BE BETTER, AND
6 SO SAFE PASSAGE WAS MENTIONED IN THAT CONTEXT OF COMING TO
7 THE UNITED STATES.

8 THAT'S THE ONLY TIME THAT I RECALL, WITH THE
9 EXCEPTION OF JUST LAST WEEK, AND PERHAPS THE WEEK BEFORE THAT
10 IN ONE OF OUR TELEPHONIC STATUS CALLS, WHERE SAFE PASSAGE WAS
11 EVEN RAISED. THERE CERTAINLY WASN'T ANY MENTION OF IT TO ME
12 THAT THE WITNESSES -- THAT AS A CONDITION OF PRECEDENT TO THE
13 WITNESSES APPEARING ANYWHERE FOR DEPOSITION OUTSIDE OF THE
14 UNITED STATES, THAT SAFE PASSAGE HAD TO BE GUARANTEED OR
15 PROMISED BY THE UNITED STATES, GETTING TO AND THEN FROM THE
16 DEPOSITION UNMOLESTED BY ANY GOVERNMENT, OR AT LEAST THE
17 UNITED STATES, THAT THERE WOULD BE NO ARREST, NO PROVISIONAL
18 ARREST OR ANYTHING OF THE SORT.

19 I SIMPLY DON'T RECALL MR. COLE OR MS. HAN IN THE
20 CONTEXT OF REPRESENTING THE UNITED STATES, AT LEAST IN MY
21 PRESENCE, GUARANTEEING OR PROMISING SAFE PASSAGE. THAT'S
22 POINT NO. 1.

23 POINT NO. 2 IS IF THERE WERE CONVERSATIONS,
24 COMMUNICATIONS OUTSIDE OF THESE SEVERAL STATUS HEARINGS AND
25 CONFERENCES THAT WE'VE HELD, THEN I MUST RELY UPON WHAT THE

1 ATTORNEYS ARE TELLING ME. IF ON THE ONE HAND THERE WAS AN
2 EXPLICIT PROMISE OR A GUARANTY OF SAFE PASSAGE, I WOULD
3 CONSIDER THAT PROMISE MADE BY THE UNITED STATES MUCH
4 DIFFERENTLY THAN IF THERE WASN'T.

5 I'VE READ THE CASES THAT HAVE BEEN CITED BY BOTH
6 SIDES. MOST NOTABLY THE SANTTINI CASE OUT OF THE THIRD
7 CIRCUIT. AND WE HAVE WHAT THE DEFENSE HAVE CITED AS THE
8 PUCHI CASE, OR PUCHI, OUT OF THIS CIRCUIT. I DON'T FIND THE
9 PUCHI DECISION TO BE VERY HELPFUL, GIVEN THAT THE DISCUSSION
10 OF SAFE PASSAGE REALLY WASN'T FULLY FLESHED OUT AS IT WAS IN
11 THE SANTTINI CASE.

12 SANTTINI IS A THIRD CIRCUIT CASE AND ACCORDINGLY NOT
13 BINDING PRECEDENT UPON THIS COURT, BUT IT IS INSTRUCTIVE I
14 BELIEVE. AND IN THAT CASE, CLEARLY THE COURT THERE, THE
15 THIRD CIRCUIT CLEARLY SAID THAT THE COURT EXCEEDS ITS
16 AUTHORITY IN ORDERING THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH TO PROVIDE SAFE
17 PASSAGE.

18 TRYING TO DIG A LITTLE DEEPER INTO THE CASE LAW, I
19 FOUND A CASE, WE FOUND A CASE, IT'S A DISTRICT COURT CASE OUT
20 OF NEVADA. IT'S A 2010 CASE. IT'S UNITED STATES VS. MCKEE.
21 AND IT CAN BE FOUND -- AND IT'S A NONREPORTED CASE. IT CAN
22 BE FOUND AT 2010 WESTLAW 1849330. THAT CASE DISCUSSED
23 SANTTINI AND TRIED -- AND DISTINGUISHED SANTTINI, ON ONE
24 GROUND THAT IT WASN'T BINDING PRECEDENT ON ANY COURT IN THIS
25 DISTRICT OR THE CIRCUIT, BUT IT RECOGNIZED THE COURT'S LACK

1 OF AUTHORITY TO ORDER THE GOVERNMENT TO PROVIDE ESSENTIALLY
2 SAFE PASSAGE.

3 HOWEVER, IN THIS CASE, IN THE MCKEE CASE, THE COURT
4 WENT THE OTHER WAY AND FOUND THAT AN ORDER THAT THEY HAD
5 ISSUED PREVIOUSLY THAT THE DEFENSE -- A DEFENSE WITNESS WAS
6 NOT TO BE ARRESTED AFTER SHE TESTIFIED ON BEHALF OF THE
7 DEFENDANT; AND, OF COURSE, THE UNITED STATES DID EXACTLY
8 THAT. AND THE COURT FOUND THAT THAT WAS IN VIOLATION OF ITS
9 ORDER. DIDN'T DIRECTLY ADDRESS SAFE PASSAGE, BUT IT DID CITE
10 THE SANTTINI CASE.

11 SO GETTING BACK TO MY SECOND POINT ABOUT PROMISES OR
12 GUARANTEES. SINCE THERE WAS NONE MADE IN MY PRESENCE BY THE
13 UNITED STATES, I HAVE TO RELY UPON THE INFORMATION PROVIDED
14 TO ME BY THE ATTORNEYS. MR. COLE HAS STATED IN A DECLARATION
15 THAT NO PROMISES OR GUARANTEES OF ANY KIND WERE MADE ABOUT
16 SAFE PASSAGE, NOR HAVE I HEARD FROM THE DEFENSE THAT SUCH A
17 GUARANTY OR PROMISE WAS MADE BY MR. COLE IN ANY OF THE
18 PLEADINGS THAT WERE FILED OR EVEN IN ARGUMENT TODAY, BUT
19 MERELY THAT THERE WAS DISCUSSIONS ABOUT IT.

20 AND SO I'M NOT GOING TO AT THIS POINT ORDER THAT THE
21 GOVERNMENT PROVIDE SAFE PASSAGE. ONE, I DON'T BELIEVE -- I
22 FIND THE SANTTINI DECISION TO BE HELPFUL AND INSTRUCTIVE AND
23 I DO NOT BELIEVE THAT THIS COURT HAS THE AUTHORITY TO
24 AUTHORIZE OR, EXCUSE ME, TO ORDER THE UNITED STATES, THE
25 EXECUTIVE BRANCH TO PROVIDE SAFE PASSAGE TO ANY OF THE

1 DEFENSE WITNESSES. AND SO THAT WILL BE THE ORDER IN THIS
2 CASE. I'M NOT GOING TO -- I'M NOT GOING TO ORDER THE UNITED
3 STATES TO PROVIDE SAFE PASSAGE. I BELIEVE AN ADEQUATE RECORD
4 HAS BEEN MADE. THIS IS SUBJECT TO REVIEW BY JUDGE MILLER.

5 NOW, I WOULD HIGHLY RECOMMEND THAT IF THE DEFENSE
6 INTENDS TO SEEK REVIEW OF THIS DECISION, THAT IT DO SO
7 IMMEDIATELY. I CAN SEE ON THE LAPTOP IN FRONT OF ME THAT A
8 TRANSCRIPT WILL BE AVAILABLE HERE ALMOST IMMEDIATELY UPON THE
9 CONCLUSION OF THIS HEARING, SUBJECT TO SOME CLEANING UP OF
10 THE TRANSCRIPT; BUT IT WOULD BE AVAILABLE ON SHORT ORDER.
11 I'M SURE JUDGE MILLER WILL ENTERTAIN THIS -- ANY REVIEW IF
12 SOUGHT -- ON AN EXPEDITED BASIS, UNDERSTANDING THE URGENCY OF
13 THE MATTER.

14 I DO BELIEVE THAT THE WITNESSES, DEFENSE WITNESSES
15 IN THIS CASE OR ANY CASE, PARTICULARLY IN A SITUATION LIKE
16 THIS, WHO VOLUNTARILY DECIDE THAT THEY WOULD LIKE TO TESTIFY,
17 WOULD DO SO SUBJECT TO WHATEVER RISK MAY BE OUT THERE THAT
18 THEY MAY BE ARRESTED EITHER BEFORE OR AFTER THEIR TESTIMONY.
19 AND THAT'S TRUE IF THE CASE WAS BEING HELD HERE, OR THE TRIAL
20 WAS BEING HELD HERE IN THE UNITED STATES AND THESE WITNESSES
21 DECIDED TO VOLUNTARILY APPEAR HERE.

22 THIS DISTRICT, BECAUSE IT IS ON THE BORDER, HAS MANY
23 PROSECUTIONS OF DRUG-RELATED CASES WHERE WITNESSES ROUTINELY
24 ENTER THE UNITED STATES TO COME TO TESTIFY AND DO SO WITHOUT
25 ANY GUARANTEES ONE WAY OR THE OTHER OF SAFE PASSAGE. SIMPLY

1 BECAUSE SAFE PASSAGE WAS CONSIDERED AND GRANTED IN A CASE IN
2 ANOTHER DISTRICT HERE IN THE PAST, WITHOUT KNOWING MORE ABOUT
3 THAT CASE AND CERTAINLY AGREEING WITH THE DEFENSE THAT IT'S
4 THE SAME CONSTITUTION THAT APPLIES WHETHER IT'S A
5 NARCOTERRORISM CASE OR TERRORISM ON SOME OTHER LEVEL.

6 I THINK IT'S -- IT'S INSTRUCTIVE THAT IT OCCURRED
7 THERE, BUT IT'S NOT BINDING THAT IT OCCURS, IT SHOULD OCCUR
8 HERE. CIRCUMSTANCES MAY BE ENTIRELY DIFFERENT AND NONE OF
9 THOSE CIRCUMSTANCES HAVE BEEN PROVIDED TO THE COURT. BUT,
10 NEVERTHELESS, EVEN IF THE CIRCUMSTANCES WERE IDENTICAL, THE
11 FACTS WERE IDENTICAL, THIS COURT DOES NOT BELIEVE IT HAS THE
12 AUTHORITY TO ORDER THE UNITED STATES TO OFFER A LETTER OF
13 SAFE PASSAGE TO ANY WITNESS, WHETHER THAT WITNESS IS AN
14 UNINDICTED CO-CONSPIRATOR AND MAY BE MORE CRIMINALLY
15 VULNERABLE THAN SOME OF THE OTHER WITNESSES OR NOT.

16 AND SO THE ORDER IS THAT THERE WILL BE NO ORDER FROM
17 THIS COURT ORDERING THE UNITED STATES TO PROVIDE SAFE
18 PASSAGE, AND SO THE DEFENSE MOTION TO DO SO IS HEREBY DENIED.
19 I'VE GIVEN YOU THE CITES TO THE CASE THAT I HAVE READ AND
20 DON'T FIND IT PARTICULARLY HELPFUL, AND THAT'S THE MCKEE
21 CASE. I DON'T FIND THE PUCHI CASE TO BE PARTICULARLY
22 HELPFUL, BUT I AM INFORMED BY THE SANTTINI CASE.

23 ALL RIGHT. IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE BEFORE WE SIGN
24 OFF FOR TODAY?

25 MR. DURKIN: JUDGE, THERE IS ONE MATTER THAT I HAVE.

1 I HAVE FILED AN AFFIDAVIT OF MY CLIENT WAIVING HIS PRESENCE.
2 I HAVE HAD SOME SCHEDULING ISSUES AND OTHER ISSUES THAT ARE
3 GOING TO MOST LIKELY MAKE IT IMPOSSIBLE FOR ME TO GO, AND I'M
4 LIKELY TO FILE AN AMENDED AFFIDAVIT OF MY CLIENT. I'VE
5 ALREADY SPOKE TO HIM ABOUT THIS AND HE'S AGREEABLE.

6 I'D LIKE TO FILE AN AMENDED AFFIDAVIT, SIMILAR TO
7 THE ONE MS. MORENO FILED, WAIVING MY PRESENCE AS WELL AND
8 HAVING SOMEONE ELSE STAND IN.

9 THE COURT: AND WHO IS THIS SPEAKING?

10 MR. DURKIN: THAT'S MR. DURKIN.

11 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, MR. DURKIN.

12 MS. MORENO: AND, YOUR HONOR, I WILL ALSO, BECAUSE
13 OF SCHEDULING ISSUES, NOT BE ABLE TO ATTEND THE DEPOSITIONS.
14 MY CLIENT WAS INFORMED OF THAT, OF THE POTENTIAL OF THAT A
15 MONTH, FOUR WEEKS OR SO AGO. AND BECAUSE OF THE LATE DATE
16 AND THE TENTATIVE POSSIBILITY THAT THIS TRIAL MATTER WILL
17 RESOLVE, I WILL NOT BE ABLE TO ATTEND. AND MY CLIENT HAS
18 BEEN SO INFORMED AND HE, TOO, HAS EXECUTED AN AFFIDAVIT.

19 IF THE COURT FEELS I NEED TO SUBMIT THAT AFFIDAVIT
20 IN ADDITION TO THE FIRST ONE I SUBMITTED, I CAN DO THAT. BUT
21 I'M STATING THAT FOR THE RECORD TODAY.

22 THE COURT: WELL, I WOULD APPRECIATE HAVING THOSE
23 AFFIDAVITS AND DECLARATIONS, AMENDED DECLARATIONS ON FILE
24 QUICKLY IF THEY HAVEN'T ALREADY BEEN FILED SO I CAN REVIEW
25 THEM.

1 MS. MORENO: CERTAINLY.

2 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. ONE LAST ISSUE THAT I WOULD
3 LIKE TO BRING UP. IT'S NOT AN ISSUE AT ALL, BUT JUST TRYING
4 TO REVISIT SOMETHING THAT WE DISCUSSED SEVERAL WEEKS AGO, AND
5 THAT IS THE KIND OF OATH THAT WOULD BE GIVEN TO THESE
6 WITNESSES AND WHETHER WE NEED TO MODIFY THE OATH AT ALL. I'M
7 NOT ASKING THAT I HAVE THE ANSWER TO THAT RIGHT NOW, BUT THAT
8 IS SOMETHING THAT I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE LOCKED ON
9 AND HAVE CONSIDERED AND RESOLVED.

10 AND HAVE WE, MR. COLE?

11 MR. COLE: I DON'T THINK WE HAVE, YOUR HONOR. THE
12 UNITED STATES HAS BEEN, TO THIS POINT, ASSUMING THAT THE
13 WITNESSES WOULD JUST TAKE THE STANDARD U.S. OATH UNDER THE
14 CIRCUMSTANCES, SINCE YOU WOULD BE THERE TO ADMINISTER IT.
15 HOWEVER, I KNOW EARLY ON THERE WAS REFERENCE BY MS. MORENO OR
16 OTHERS TO PERHAPS AN ADDITIONAL, SUPPLEMENTAL OATH.

17 WE FRANKLY -- AT LEAST THE U.S. HAS FRANKLY LET THAT
18 DISCUSSION LAPSE. AND I'D BE HAPPY TO REACH BACK OUT TO THE
19 DEFENSE TO SEE IF WE CAN EXPLORE WHETHER THERE IS A NEED FOR
20 AN ADDITIONAL OATH OR NOT.

21 THE COURT: I WOULD APPRECIATE THAT.

22 GO AHEAD.

23 MR. DRATEL: YOUR HONOR, THIS IS MR. DRATEL.

24 I THINK THE RULE PRESCRIBES THAT THE OATH BE THAT
25 WHICH IS ACCOMMODATING OR APPROPRIATE IN THE JURISDICTION

1 THAT THE DEPOSITIONS ARE BEING TAKEN. HOWEVER, I DO AGREE
2 WITH MR. COLE, JUST IN THE SENSE THAT SINCE YOUR HONOR IS
3 PRESIDING THAT PERHAPS THE ORDINARY OATH IS SUFFICIENT,
4 ALTHOUGH WE WILL LOOK INTO THAT AS WELL.

5 ALSO, THE QUESTION OF OBVIOUSLY WHAT OATH
6 COMMUNICATES TO THE WITNESS APPROPRIATELY THE WITNESS'
7 OBLIGATION, THAT'S WHAT'S MOST IMPORTANT TO ME. IN OTHER
8 WORDS, THE WITNESS UNDERSTANDS, A, THAT HE'S BOUND TO TELL
9 THE TRUTH, AND, B, THAT THERE ARE POTENTIAL PENALTIES IF THEY
10 DON'T TELL THE TRUTH; SO TO ME, THAT'S THE MOST IMPORTANT.

11 WHETHER IT CONFORMS WITH THE ORDINARY OATH OR HAS
12 SOME OTHER ASPECT TO IT THAT'S CULTURALLY OR GEOGRAPHICALLY
13 DIFFERENT IN TERMS OF COMMUNICATING THAT, I THINK THOSE ARE
14 THE TWO IMPORTANT THINGS THAT ARE IN ANY OATH, SO WE'LL WORK
15 ON THAT TOGETHER. I DON'T PERCEIVE THAT TO BE A PROBLEM.

16 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. VERY GOOD.

17 ALL RIGHT. NEXT WEEK ON FRIDAY IS WHEN WE'RE
18 DEPARTING, ASSUMING THE SCHEDULE REMAINS AS IS. I WOULD
19 RECOMMEND THAT WE HAVE ONE LAST STATUS CALL A WEEK FROM TODAY
20 AT THE USUAL TIME, 7:00 A.M., JUST TO MAKE SURE THAT
21 EVERYTHING IS -- ALL SYSTEMS ARE GO.

22 MS. FONTIER: YOUR HONOR, WE -- I AT LEAST WON'T BE
23 AVAILABLE. I DON'T BELIEVE MR. GHAPPOUR WILL BE EITHER, AS
24 WE'RE GOING TO BE TRAVELING TO DJIBOUTI EARLIER THAN THE
25 GOVERNMENT, OBVIOUSLY.

1 MR. DRATEL: I'LL STILL BE HERE, YOUR HONOR. THIS
2 IS MR. DRATEL.

3 THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. WELL, LET'S --
4 WHOEVER CAN MAKE THE PHONE CALL, THAT'S WHO WE'LL HAVE ON THE
5 LINE.

6 MR. GHAPPOUR: YOUR HONOR. I'M SORRY, THIS IS
7 MR. GHAPPOUR.

8 THE COURT: YES.

9 MR. GHAPPOUR: I JUST HAVE ONE QUESTION.

10 WITH RESPECT TO ANY MOTION BY THE DEFENSE TO TAKE
11 THE DEPOSITION OF MR. SHIDANE OR OTHER WITNESSES IN SOMALIA,
12 ON THE BASIS OF YOUR RULING TODAY, IS THAT SOMETHING TO BE
13 BROUGHT TO YOUR ATTENTION OR THE ATTENTION OF JUDGE MILLER?

14 THE COURT: I BELIEVE YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO BRING
15 THAT TO JUDGE MILLER. JUDGE MILLER HAS RULED THAT
16 DEPOSITIONS IN SOMALIA HAS BEEN DENIED. I MEAN, HE'S DENIED
17 THAT MOTION, AND I DON'T SEE THAT I HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO
18 REVERSE A DISTRICT JUDGE'S DECISION ON THAT.

19 I DO KNOW THAT HE'S REFERRED THE MATTER TO ME TO
20 FIND ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS PERHAPS, BUT WITH RESPECT TO A
21 DEPOSITION THAT OCCURS IN SOMALIA, I BELIEVE THAT'S SOMETHING
22 YOU'LL HAVE TO BRING BACK BEFORE JUDGE MILLER.

23 MR. GHAPPOUR: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

24 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. COLE.

25 MR. COLE: YEAH. JUST ONE LAST QUESTION.

I WANTED TO SEE IF WE COULD CONFIRM TODAY FOR
EVERYBODY WITH THE DEFENSE IF WE ARE -- IF THE ROOM FOR THE
DEPOSITION ITSELF HAS BEEN SECURED? I BELIEVE IT'S GOING TO
BE AT THE BAVARIA HOTEL WHERE THE DEFENSE MADE ARRANGEMENTS,
BUT I JUST WANTED TO CONFIRM THAT. WE CAN, OF COURSE,
CONFIRM IT ON THE 5TH, BUT I THINK IT WOULD BE GOOD FOR THE
U.S. TO KNOW SO THAT WE CAN LET PEOPLE THERE OVERSEAS KNOW
WHERE THE ACTUAL LOCATION IS GOING TO BE, WHERE THE
DEPOSITIONS THEMSELVES ARE GOING TO HAPPEN.

MR. GHAPPOUR: YOUR HONOR, I'VE BEEN IN
CORRESPONDENCE WITH THE BAVARIA HOTEL, AND THEY HAVE YET TO
GET BACK TO ME AS TO WHETHER -- INITIALLY THEY AFFIRMED THAT
WE HAD A RESERVATION FOR THE MEETING ROOM, BUT THEY HAVE YET
TO GET BACK TO ME AS FAR AS PAYMENT AND OTHER ISSUES, SO I
CANNOT CONFIRM THAT AT THE MOMENT.

I ALSO HAVE -- THE OTHER PROBLEM IS THAT EVERYONE IN
DJIBOUTI SPEAKS FRENCH. I DON'T. SO I'VE GOT AN INTERN
LOOKING INTO CALLING OTHER CONFERENCE AREAS IN DJIBOUTI AS A
BACKUP. AND I BELIEVE THAT MS. FONTIER IS ALSO LOOKING INTO
THAT, TOO, AS A FRENCH SPEAKER.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I WOULD ASK THAT THE DEFENSE
NOTIFY MR. COLE OR MS. HAN JUST AS QUICKLY AS YOU CAN ONCE A
DECISION AND CONFIRMATION OF THE LOCATION HAS BEEN REACHED.

ALL RIGHT. ANYTHING ELSE?

MR. COLE: NO, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU.

1 MS. FONTIER: NO. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

2 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THAT'S ALL FOR TODAY. THANK
3 YOU.

4 MR. DRATEL: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

5 (PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 7:40 A.M.)

6 --000--

7 C E R T I F I C A T I O N

8 I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM A DULY APPOINTED,
9 QUALIFIED AND ACTING OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER FOR THE UNITED
10 STATES DISTRICT COURT; THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND
11 CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HAD IN THE
12 AFOREMENTIONED CAUSE; THAT SAID TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND
13 CORRECT TRANSCRIPTION OF MY STENOGRAPHIC NOTES; AND THAT THE
14 FORMAT USED HEREIN COMPLIES WITH THE RULES AND REQUIREMENTS
15 OF THE UNITED STATES JUDICIAL CONFERENCE.

16 DATED: OCTOBER 29, 2012, AT SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

17 S/CAMERON P. KIRCHER
18 CAMERON P. KIRCHER

19

20

21

22

23

24

25